

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/257,739		02/25/1999	SHALOM Z. HIRSCHMAN	11530-004	530-004 2898	
20583	7590	08/23/2004		EXAMINER		
JONES DA			LE, EMILY M			
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WEW TORK				1648		
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/257,739	HIRSCHMAN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Emily Le	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>0 June 2004</u> .						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachme	nt(s)							
1)  Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, — <u> </u>	Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94i ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S oer No(s)/Mail Date	~ <sup>'</sup>	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	2)				

Application/Control Number: 09/257,739

Art Unit: 1648

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 06/10/2004 has been entered in its entirety.

#### Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are pending and under examination.

### Specification

3. The amendment filed Dec 12, 2000 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material that is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "Product R is provided by Advance Viral Research Corporation (Yonkers, New York)". Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: lack of written support for "at concentrations between 0 to 100%" in the specification. It is noted that the submitted drawings contains support for concentrations between 0 to 100%, by original presentation, however, no literal or implied support can be found within the

Application/Control Number: 09/257,739

Art Unit: 1648

specification. Applicant is required to amend the specification to include 0-100% language since the drawings support this range.

4. The use of the trademark RETICULOSE ® has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. In the instant, it is strongly recommended that Applicant delete all instance RETICULOSE because it is noted that in Applicant's other works, U.S Patent No. 6303153 (col. 2) and 6528098 (col. 1) unequivocally state that product R and RETICULOSE are not the same composition.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Support cannot be found within the original disclosure for the mass ranges that is recited in step "a')" of claims 1 and 7. While it is noted that the specification teaches

Application/Control Number: 09/257,739

Art Unit: 1648

specific values, i.e. 35.0 g or casein, and that all quantities are subject to a plus or minus 2.5% variation for pH, volume, and analytical adjustments; the mass ranges that are currently recited in the claims are beyond the 2.5% variation from the originally disclosed values.

Claim 1 recites the limitation: "the mRNA" in line 1 of step "f)"; and "the product" in line 1 of step "c')". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the reduction" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation: "the mRNA" in line 1 of step "c)"; and "the product" in line 1 of step "c')". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Conclusion

Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are free of the prior art. These claims would be allowable upon filing of an amendment that properly addresses the above stated objection(s) and/or rejection(s).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Le whose telephone number is (571) 272 0903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/257,739 Page 5

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Crub Le

Patent Examiner, AU 1648